

# **PART A - Initial Equality Screening Assessment**

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality and diversity.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provide a record of both the process and decision. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions.

Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality and diversity
- whether or not equality and diversity is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an Equality Analysis (Part B).

Further information is available in the Equality Screening and Analysis Guidance – see page 9.

1. Title		
Title: Proposed amendment to Bus Lane Traf Road, Templeborough Rotherham	fic Regulation Order – A6178 Sheffield	
Directorate: Regeneration and Environment	Service area: Planning, Regeneration and Transport	
Lead person: Richard Pardy	Contact number: 822959	
Is this a:  Strategy / Policy X Service / Function Other		
If other, please specify		

## 2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

To seek approval to amend the current bus lane traffic regulation order on the A6178 Sheffield Road. The aim is to maintain the free and safe movement of vehicles by allowing heavy goods vehicles (HGV's) to also use the bus lane.

### 3. Relevance to equality and diversity

All the Council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – borough wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality and diversity.

Questions	Yes	No
Could the proposal have implications regarding the		Х
accessibility of services to the whole or wider community?		
Could the proposal affect service users?		X
Has there been or is there likely to be an impact on an		X
individual or group with protected characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns regarding		X
the proposal?		
Could the proposal affect how the Council's services,		X
commissioning or procurement activities are organised,		
provided, located and by whom?		
Could the proposal affect the Council's workforce or	·	Х
employment practices?		

If you have answered no to all the questions above, please explain the reason

The report relates to the proposed amendment of the current bus lane traffic regulation order by allowing HGV's to use the bus lane, this should not impact any services or the wider community adversely.

If you have answered  $\underline{no}$  to  $\underline{all}$  the questions above please complete **sections 5 and 6.** 

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above please complete **section 4.** 

### 4. Considering the impact on equality and diversity

### • How have you considered equality and diversity?

The Highway layout is being adjusted to improve measures for cyclists along Sheffield Rd by creating segregated cycle facilities, including improved bus stop by-passes and new signalised crossing facilities, this in turn reduces the width of the carriageway and to avoid large vehicles coming into head on conflict it was decided to allow HGV's in the bus lane.

#### Key findings

The highway layout along the A6178 Sheffield Road is being adjusted to improve the experience for pedestrian and cyclists along the route. On Sheffield Road the proposals will reduce the width of the carriageway and it is felt that the inclusion of HGV's in the bus

			<del> </del>			
lane will reduce the potential for conflict between large vehicles in opposing lanes.						
<ul><li>Actions</li></ul>						
Amending the existing bus						
removed and the Rotherham bound lane will allow HGV's to use the Rotherham bound						
lane. This is shown on draw	ing number 126/18/TT75/					
Date to scope and plan you	r Equality Analysis:	N/A				
Date to complete your Equality Analysis:		N/A				
Bato to complete your Equality / maryole.						
Lead person for your Equality Analysis		N/A				
(Include name and job title):		N/A				
(morado marino ana job ano)	•					
F Covernance ownership	and approval					
5. Governance, ownership	o and approvai					
Discount of the last of the last			and the second second			
Please state here who has						
Name	Job title	Da				
A. Butler	Senior Engineer	13/	09/21			
6. Publishing						
o. I ublishing						
This care oning document w	ill oot oo oyidaaaa that d		to aquality and diversity			
This screening document w	ill act as evidence that du	e regard	to equality and diversity			
has been given.						
If this screening relates to a Cabinet, key delegated officer decision, Council, other						
committee or a significant operational decision a copy of the completed document						
should be attached as an a	ppendix and published al	ongside t	he relevant report.			
A copy of <u>all</u> screenings should also be sent to <u>equality@rotherham.gov.uk</u> For record						
keeping purposes it will be kept on file and also published on the Council's Equality and						
Diversity Internet page.						
Date screening completed	k					
Report title and date						
If relates to a Cabinet, key	delegated officer					
decision, Council, other c						
significant operational de	cision – report date					

and date sent for publication	
Date screening sent to Performance,	
Intelligence and Improvement	
equality@rotherham.gov.uk	